

## FACTS SHEET

**Project Description: East-West 1-Way Pair from West of NS #718408K to East of Henry St**

**Project No.: STP00-0163-01(011)**

**P.I. No.: 321530-**

**County or City: Henry**

**GDOT District: 3**

**GDOT PM: Merishia Robinson**

### Concept Report

Mar-1994: The roadway project begins just west of the Norfolk Southern Railroad on Jonesboro Street with the eastbound lanes on SR 81/SR 20 and the westbound lanes on Jonesboro Street/Covington Road to a point approximately 2500-ft east of Cedar Street. Connections on both ends will be constructed on new alignment. Two traffic lanes with parking will be provided except three traffic lanes will be provided in each direction from SR 42 (Macon Street) to Cedar Street. An alternate design utilizing Lemon Street for the eastern connector roadway was also considered.

### Current Budget/Estimate

Construction (FY 2018): \$6,641,480.38

### Summary of City of McDonough Coordination

In January 2013, the City of McDonough met with Russell McMurry and Todd Long to discuss their concerns with the design of the proposed project. The City was concerned with the one way pairs causing downtown and its surroundings to be non pedestrian friendly and hinder business by creating parcels that front on each pair.

- It was discussed to maybe utilize the foot print and have 2-way traffic except through the section downtown and the potential for a roundabout.
- There is real concern that Sloan Street and Brown Avenue will be negatively impacted by EB/SB traffic that wishes to travel west on SR 20/81 (Locals already do this). Any thoughts?
- Based on the above comment, it was stated that we will be driving folks to "County" retail centers on Jonesboro Rd rather than "City" retail centers on SR 20/81 as the path of least resistance. Any thoughts?
- I think that we are being VERY short-sighted with Jonesboro Street from the Square to the RR. That section is in dire need of upgrade structurally (pavement section) and for storm drainage. The City is spending a lot of money to relocate existing utilities out from under the pavement but understand that this section will basically be resurfaced and striped. If we can keep the typical section the same so as not to increase impacts, is there any possibility that we can get the needed drainage and pavement improvements with the project?
- Things discussed such as a roundabout on the eastside, the question that comes to mind is: is there sufficient PE \$ to consider such a change

In July 2013, the City of McDonough met with GDOT staff to get updates on the progress of the project.

- Connect Brown Ave to Lowe St.
- Corrections along Jonesboro St from NS RR to the Downtown Square
  - Fixed grates
  - Sidewalks
  - ADA ramps
  - Deep mill
  - Gutter reclamation
- Gateways to the City of McDonough
  - SR 20/81 at Postmaster Rd
  - Jonesboro Rd at Doris St (near future Alexander Park)

Direction Needed from the City of McDonough

- One-way versus Two-Way design
- Connect Lowe St. to Brown Ave.
- Decision about the Roundabouts

## Summary of City of McDonough Coordination

In January 2013, the City of McDonough met with Russell McMurry and Todd Long to discuss their concerns with the design of the proposed project. The City was concerned with the one way pairs causing downtown and its surroundings to be non pedestrian friendly and hinder business by creating parcels that front on each pair.

- It was discussed to maybe utilize the foot print and have 2-way traffic except through the section downtown and the potential for a roundabout.
- There is real concern that Sloan Street and Brown Avenue will be negatively impacted by EB/SB traffic that wishes to travel west on SR 20/81 (Locals already do this). Any thoughts?
- Based on the above comment, it was stated that we will be driving folks to "County" retail centers on Jonesboro Rd rather than "City" retail centers on SR 20/81 as the path of least resistance. Any thoughts?
- I think that we are being VERY short-sighted with Jonesboro Street from the Square to the RR. That section is in dire need of upgrade structurally (pavement section) and for storm drainage. The City is spending a lot of money to relocate existing utilities out from under the pavement but understand that this section will basically be resurfaced and striped. If we can keep the typical section the same so as not to increase impacts, is there any possibility that we can get the needed drainage and pavement improvements with the project?
- Things discussed such as a roundabout on the eastside, the question that comes to mind is: is there sufficient PE \$ to consider such a change
- Another issue was that old photography was still shown as the base map. Any chance of updating to more current photography? Henry County has good GIS coverage with orthos of the entire County if that helps.